UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,169	02/03/2006	Jean-Marie Badoz	MICROM24	1184
Cohen Gary M	7590 01/09/2008	•	EXAM	INER
	ing Number Three	WILSON, JOHN J		
125 Strafford A	venue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Wayne, PA 190	087-3318	3732		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

`	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	10/567,169	BADOZ ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John J. Wilson	3732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
REPLY FILED 17 December 2007 FAILS TO PLACE TI	HIS APPLICATION IN COND	ITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	

Defere the Filips of an Annual Drief							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	John J. Wilson	3732	,				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	Iress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 December 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	THE REPLY FILED 17 December 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply more	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing		: Ab 6:	daha da tatan da				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte</li> </ol>	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	filed within two montl avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since				
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	within the time period set forth in 3	37 CFR 41.37(a).					
AMENDMENTS	hara anti-ana alam daka af filipa a bataf						
B. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		d	the incurs for				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in begappeal; and/or			the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		mpliant Amandmant	(DTOL 224)				
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).			\				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.				
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
13.  ☐ Other:							
		/John J Wilson/ Primary Examiner					
		Art Unit: 2722					

Art Unit: 3732

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Appliant has informed the Office of the filing of a continuation-in-part, which is noted, and further states that the objection and rejections are traversed. It is held that the objections and rejections are proper for the reasons stated in the Final Rejection of October 18, 2007.